## **INFORMATION TO USERS**

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.



A Bell & Howell Information Company 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor MI 48106-1346 USA 313/761-4700 800/521-0600

#### LEXICAL-SEMANTIC RELATIONS IN ARABIC TEXT

ΒY

#### MOHAMMED ALSHENIFEY

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science in the Graduate College of the Illinois Institute of Technology

Approved Marthalis Adviser

ORIGINAL ARCHIVAL CORT

Chicago, Illinois May 1998

#### UMI Number: 9833029

UMI Microform 9833029 Copyright 1998, by UMI Company. All rights reserved.

This microform edition is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

UMI 300 North Zeeb Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to thank my God, Alla the Almighty, Who gave me the strength to finish this thesis. My sincerest thanks go to my advisor, Professor Martha Evens for her support and guidance during the time I have been carrying this research. I cannot remember how many of my drafts she went through. Without the help of this remarkable scientist, this work might never been completed.

I am also thankful to the member of my committee, Professor Teymour, Professor Burnstein, Professor Elrad, and Professor Hood. I am thankful to my sister Hya and her husband Abraham and all the rest of the family. I would like to thank all my friends and my colleagues at IIT, who helped me, carry out my experiment, especially Saleem Abuleil, who has carefully read all my data.

I am especially grateful to Michael Glass who has taught me about many aspects of Computers Science for the last four years.

M.A.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTi					
LIST OF TABLES					
LIST OF FIGURES					
CHAPTER					
I. INTRODUCTION	1				
<ul> <li>1.1 The Contribution of This Research</li> <li>1.2 Organization of the Lexicon</li> <li>1.3 Historical Background of the Arabic Languages</li> <li>1.4 Significance of this Research</li> <li>1.5 Review by Chapter</li> </ul>	1 4 5 6 7				
II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE					
2.1 Application of Relational Models of the Lexicon82.2 The Nature of Relations122.3 Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary162.4 Research in Arabic Language Processing Elsewhere182.5 Research in Arabic Language Processing at IIT20	8 2 6 8 0				
III. SYNONYMY EXPERIMENT					
IV. LEXICAL-SEMANTIC RELATIONS					
4.1 Definitions304.2 Taxonomy304.3 Antonymy304.4 Grading314.5 Attribute324.6 Collocational Relations324.7 Pradigmatic Relations33	) ) 1 2 2 3				

# CHAPTER

V. DATA AND DATABASE	35
<ul><li>5.1 Thesaurus Tables</li><li>5.2 Methodology for Finding Thesaurus Entries</li></ul>	37 39
VI. THE THESAURUS INTERFACE	40
VII. MORPHOLOGY	50
<ul> <li>7.1 Tasrif</li> <li>7.2 Ishtiqaq</li> <li>7.3 Added Sounds and Morphemes</li> </ul>	52 52 53
VIII. CONCLUSION	54
8.1 Summary8.2 Future Research	54 55
APPENDIX	56
LIST OF WORDS AND SYNONYMS	56
BIBLIOGRAPHY	76

Page

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. System Requirements for the Arabic Thesaurus	40

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Relations Identified by Casagrande and Hale [1967]	10
2. Components of Calzolari's Lexical Date Base	18
3. Organization of the Thesaurus Database	37
4. Synonymy Table for Arabic Words	38
5. Entries in the Taxonomy Table	38
6. Entries in the Antonymy Table	38
7. Basic Information Window	42
8. Synonymy Window	43
9. Antonymy Window	44
10. Attribution Window	45
11. Part-Whole Window	46
12. Grading Window	47
13. Collocation Relations Window	48
14. Paradigmatic Relations Window	49
15. The Tasrif of the Root (ktb)	52
16. Augumented Morphemes and Morphological Patterns.	53

# CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Contribution of This Research

As natural language processing (NLP) systems grow more sophisticated, they need larger and more detailed lexicons. This seems to become all the more true as NLP systems get bigger and move out of the laboratory, into applications that require more information in each lexical entry. This research involves one of the most important areas of the attempt to make computer systems available in Arabic. My focus is on an investigation of lexical-semantic relations that can be used for not only building a thesaurus for information retrieval but also for parsing and text generation applications. A lexical-semantic relation is a rule that links words together.

Natural language processing (NLP) systems need a large amount of explicit information for each vocabulary item known to the system. Different applications, in turn, require different information in each lexical entry. As far as we know there is no Arabic Thesaurus for computers available at this time, so I am developing a Thesaurus for Arabic that can be used in information retrieval, text generation and other applications in natural language.

To find the relationships between words, I looked in many Arabic dictionaries and discussed the problem with some Arabic linguists and they suggested that Synonyms, near-Synonyms, Antonyms, and indications of Taxonomy, Part-Whole, and Grading relations can be found in Arabic language dictionaries. They also suggested that many other relations exist in Arabic Lexicography and can be used for developing a thesaurus.

The goal of this research is to develop a list of lexical-semantic relations for Arabic, and to use them in building a thesaurus for the vocabulary extracted from 258 Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science in machine readable form. This thesaurus is designed to support several natural language applications including information retrieval. For many years, researchers have been trying to improve retrieval performance. One direction of these studies is to test the usefulness of a relational thesaurus in improving retrieval performance in Arabic.

Several experiments have been done in the past using a relational thesaurus to improve the effectiveness of information retrieval systems containing English documents. Fox [1981] performed a series of experiments on the SMART system and found that using a relational thesaurus improved the performance of the system. Evens et al. [1985] and Wang et al. [1985] demonstrated the efficacy of the thesaurus as well. Abu-Salem [1992] used a thesaurus built by Al-Khrisat [1992a,b] to show that relations can also be extremely effective in Arabic information retrieval. The relational thesaurus is proposed as a new methodology to enhance the effectiveness of Arabic-IRS and to solve the problems created by the suffix, infix, and/or prefix chopping method. The advantage of this methodology is that the construction of the relational thesaurus is independent of the document collection and the user queries.

Relational models have been used widely in computer science, anthropology, linguistics, and psychology [Evens et al., 1983]. A wide study of lexical semantic relations was launched in the former U.S.S.R in connection with the development of the Explanatory Combinatory Dictionary (ECD) [Apresyan et al., 1970]. Lexical semantic relations have been studied by considering what knowledge would be needed in a lexicon

2

to support question-answering systems [Evens and Smith, 1978]. The resulting set of relations motivated an empirical study of the usefulness of lexical-semantic relationships in information retrieval [Evens et al. 1985; Fox, 1981; Nutter et al., 1990]. In a combined project, an Illinois Institute of Technology group and a Virginia Polytechnic Institute group have built a large relational lexicon from machine-readable dictionaries for use with information retrieval systems, using the <u>Collins Dictionary of the English Language</u> and <u>Webster's Seventh Collegiate Dictionary</u> [Fox et al., 1988, Nutter et al., 1990].

Evens et al. [1983] constructed a relational thesaurus, which was used to automatically enhance queries with related index terms in a batch information retrieval system. The improvement in performance was especially noticeable with a set of illformed queries that contained few index terms initially. The best results were obtained in their batch environment, when all the relations except the antonymy relation were combined together.

The classical relations of synonymy and antonymy are marked explicitly in many English dictionaries, such as <u>Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary</u>. The entry for 'feminine', for example, includes 'Syn. female' and 'Ant. masculine'. Many noun definitions involve taxonomy, the species-genus relation, e.g., a ferret is defined as 'An animal (Mustela fero) of the weasel family.' Other definitions involve the part-whole relation, the foot is defined as 'the tournament part of the leg.'

Lexical-semantic relations provide a way to encode information in a form that is compact and easy to retrieve. Suppose, for example, that a question-answering system is processing a story that begins: Nancy was picking heather when she heard a baa. She turned and saw a little black lamb in the shade of a large rock. It rose shakily to its feet and started to follow her.

To answer the question, 'What did the sheep do?' the system must use the information that a lamb is a young sheep. This fact could be stored as a predicate calculus formula, for example, but the same kind of information must be repeated in lexical entries for <u>kitten</u>, <u>calf</u>, and <u>puppy</u>. This information can be expressed more compactly, instead, by the lexical relation, CHILD. The lexical entry for <u>lamb</u> need only contain "CHILD sheep" while the lexical entry for <u>kitten</u> contains "CHILD cat."

#### **1.2 Organization of the Lexicon**

There are also differing views about how the lexicon ought to be structured. For one thing, should syntactic, semantic and pragmatic information be separated, and if so, where do we draw the line? Intended use is only one factor in the design of the lexicon. Although much successful work has been done using a separate dictionary and encyclopedia it is difficult to decide what goes where. One of the basic operations is finding words related to a given word.

We prefer to view the lexicon as a large directed graph; the nodes correspond to lexical items; the arcs are labeled with relation names. Each relation has an inverse [Ahlswede and Evens, 1988a].

#### **1.3 Historical Background of the Arabic Languages**

The Arabic language is a Semitic language with special characteristics that make it a difficult language to deal with on a computer. The Arabic alphabet consists of twentyeight characters. Texts are written in three different forms, non-diacritized script without vowels, fully-diacritized script with vowels and partially-diacritized. In non-diacritized text, like the text we deal with, it is the responsibility of the reader to determine the intended meaning of the word in its context. Arabic script is written from right to left.

Arabic is one of the official languages of the world. There are twenty-two countries where Arabic is spoken. It is the language of the Qur'an and is the religious language of all Muslim peoples. Arab linguists have been producing studies of the language since 656 A.D [Saliba and Al-Dannan in 1989]. Therefore, the Arabic language was well described and investigated, but some works on the Arabic language are interpretations of the previous ones, whose style is difficult for students of today.

Mohamed Esmail Sieny [1985, p. 195] working at the King Saud University teaching the Arabic language wrote that:

Arabs were known to be leaders in the field of lexicography for many centuries. Probably before the Middle Ages, they were the most prolific producers of lexicographic works and dictionaries. If we count the ways in which they presented their works we will find that there are different types of dictionaries produced by Arabs, basically three we may say. The first was the general type arranged according to words, the second type was the thesaurus, and the third type was terminology dictionaries. On the thesaurus side, they started with 'special topic' dictionaries, such as dictionaries on horses, dictionaries on man, on insects, on arms etc., and many of those were common in the tenth century A.D. More comprehensive thesauri were compiled in the twelfth century. For example, we have the best known thesaurus Al-Mukhassas by Iben Sidah. It was compiled in seventeen volumes. The author was an Arab born in Andalusia, and he was a blind man. Other ones include Figah Al-Lugha by Al-Tha'alibi, adab Al-kaatib by Ibn Qutaybah, etc.

Some other languages use the Arabic alphabet, like Urdu, the language of Pakistan and some parts of India, and Farsi, the language of Iran. The extensive use of Arabic script dates back to the emergence of the Islamic faith.

#### 1.4 Significance of this Research

We have read much previous and current research on lexicon-thesaurus design and construction peculiar to other languages. We wondered whether relation based approaches would work for the Arabic language. Various theoretical and methodological opinions have evolved over the research period, by no means always in a straight line. We are interested in what information we need in the lexicon-thesaurus, concentrating on the list of lexical-semantic relations. Our interest is in morphological. syntactic and semantic feature-like properties of words attached to nodes in a lexical network. Lexical semantic relations have been shown to be valuable in language processing in languages as different as English, Russian, Papago, and Chinese [Werner and Schoepfle, 1987]. Earlier experiments using a relational thesaurus in Arabic information retrieval by Abu-Salem [1992] suggest that this approach can be of use. I propose to provide enough relational data so that the Arabic Language Processing Group can experiment with the use of relations in parsing and text generation as well as information retrieval.

#### **1.5 Review by Chapter**

Chapter II is a review of the literature. Chapter III describes my synonymy experiment. Chapter IV gives definitions of taxonomy, antonymy, grading, attribute, collocational relations, paradigmatic relations and part-whole. Chapter V describes the data and the database. Chapter VI gives a description of the thesaurus. Chapter VII discusses the morphology and some systematic relations expressed in Arabic by standard morphology. Chapter VIII includes a summary and a discussion of possible future research.

#### **CHAPTER II**

#### **REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

This chapter discusses some related research in the area of lexical-semantic relations. Researchers who contributed to more than one area may appear in more than one section as seems appropriate. The work described in this thesis is inspired and guided by much previous work with lexical-semantic relations.

#### **2.1 Applications of Relational Models of the Lexicon**

Applications fall into two fundamental categories: computer implementation of relational models constructed by anthropologists, linguists, and psychologists in order to investigate the implications of their theories or simply to store and manipulate data effectively; and lexicons and knowledge bases built by computer scientists, borrowing ideas from everywhere, for information retrieval, natural language interfaces, or other natural language processing projects.

Apresyan, et al. [1970] designed a dictionary containing explicit information about all entries. They named it the Explanatory-Combinatorial Dictionary (ECD). Their intention was to provide the non-native speaker of the Russian language with enough details about vocabulary to construct correct idiomatic Russian texts. A major feature of the ECD was the Lexical Functions (or lexical-semantic relations) that describe the relationship of the entry word to other words in its semantic field. They identified 47 lexical functions (later expanded by Mel'cuk and Zholkovsky [1988] to 53). The lexical functions include such traditional semantic relations as synonymy, antonymy and taxonomy as well as more specifically lexical relations like So which relates verbal nouns (*motion*) to verbs (*move*) or Ao which relates denominal adjectives (*national*) to nouns (*nation*).

Casagrande and Hale [1967] studied 800 definitions in Pima and Papago and extracted thirteen relations. This set of relations has been used in an information retrieval experiment in Chinese [Wan, 1995]. They are listed in Figure 1 in order of frequency in their corpus of definitions.

Williams [1966] organized a large semantic network with relations KIND, PART, and NAME to describe Japanese food, plant, season, and weather categories.

Evens et al. [1983] studied lexical-semantic relations in anthropology, linguistics, computer science and psychology. Work in these fields deals with lexical-semantic relations as a model of semantic organization. Evens [1979, 1981] explored the use of relations to make inferences in answering questions: e.g., given the information "Ted has a puppy. His name is Happy," we cannot answer the question "the pet is a: dog boy toy?" without the information that a puppy is a young dog (puppy CHILD dog) and that a dog may be a pet (dog TAX pet). Evens has developed an extensive list of relations for question answering [Evens and Smith, 1978], and text generation [Ahlswede and Evens, 1988a, b], and information retrieval [Nutter et al., 1990] and has investigated the relations implicit in dictionary definitions [Evens et al., 1987].

Oswald Werner's work in ethnography began with the Anatomical Atlas of the Navajo, in wide use by the U.S. Public Health Service [1969/1981]. It is organized in terms of the part-whole relation and the taxonomy relation. He has decided that the ideal set of primitive relations consists of just three: Modification, Taxonomy, and Queuing.

1. Attribution: X has defining attribute(s) Y
burrowing owl: 'but they are small; and they act like mice and they live in holes.
2. Contingency: X is normally or necessarily associated with Y. to lightning: 'when it rains, it lightnings.'
3. Function: X is the means of effecting Y shovel: 'with which earth is scooped up.'
<ol> <li>Spatial: X has a characteristic spatial orientation to Y nose: 'it stands below our eyes.'</li> </ol>
5. Operational: X is a characteristic goal or recipient of Y bread: 'which we eat.'
6. Comparison: X is like or unlike Y wolf: 'they are rather like coyotes, but they are big.'
<ol> <li>Exemplification: X has typical co-occurrent Y sweet: 'as sugar.'</li> </ol>
8. Class inclusion: X belongs to the class Y crane: 'a bird.'
9. Synonymy: X is the same as Y amusing: 'funny.'
<ol> <li>Antonymy: X is the negation or opposite of Y low: 'not high.'</li> </ol>
11. Provenience: X has source Y milk: 'we get it from a cow.'
12. Grading: X is part of a series containing Y Monday: 'the one following Sunday.'
13. Circularity: X is X to teach: "if someone teaches us we call it 'to teach."

Figure 1. Relations Identified by Casagrande and Hale [1967]

While Werner began with relational models of the lexicon and later applied some of the same strategies to texts, the Cornell anthropologist Joseph Grimes [1996] has moved in the opposite direction. He is probably best known for his work on narrative structures in different cultures, but he is now working at the level of the individual word.

The problem of designing and creating a lexicon containing all the syntactic and semantic information to support natural language processing over a broad range of subject matter is a center of concern for information retrieval.

Ahlswede's MS thesis [1981] made a systematic effort to identify relations in W7 adjective definitions, on the hypothesis that defining formulas expressed relations. In his Ph.D. Thesis [Ahlswede, 1988], he analyzed W7 definitions to build a lexicon using relational primitives. He found about two hundred lexical-semantic relations ("generous JCHAR ample," "nervous JCAUSE2 irritated").

In [1992b] Al-Khrisat designed an Arabic lexicon-thesaurus using lexicalsemantic relations for the computer science sublanguage. He identified some of the morphological. syntactic, and semantic relations that can be used for language understanding and text generation. The Arabic lexicon was based on the list of keywords identified by Abu-Salem in 120 abstracts. He used the set of lexical-semantic relations developed by Ahlswede and Evens [1988a] and created a thesaurus containing wordrelation-word triples.

Hammouri [1994] built an Arabic Lexical Database (ALDB) from 120 Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science, to support parsing, information retrieval, and text generation. The Arabic Lexical Database contains words and phrases in the computer sublanguage. Each contains information about the entry part of speech, and morphological information.

Al-Shalabi [1996] designed and implemented an Arabic morphological system to support natural language processing applications. This system detects the root and the pattern of Arabic words with verbal roots.

Alsamara [1996] carried out further lexicographic research at IIT. He built an Arabic lexical database for the computer sublanguage for 242 abstracts from the <u>Proceedings of the Saudi Arabian National Conferences</u>. Then he converted the corpus of 242 abstracts into a machine readable form in an Arabic Windows environment. He created a relational database to store lexical entries for nouns, verbs, particles, and adjectives in separate tables. The research described in this dissertation makes use of the fundamental effort by Alsamara.

#### 2.2 The Nature of Relations

There are many questions about the nature of lexical semantic relations themselves. The possible range of answers depends on whether the relations in question are relations between concepts (purely semantic) or relations between words (purely lexical) or somewhere in between.

Anthropologists, psychologists, and linguists have all been concerned about the fundamental nature of the tools that they employ in model building. Among anthropologists Werner, in particular, has been concerned to establish the universal nature of his system of relations, which consists of Modification, Taxonomy, and Queuing (MTQ) and certain Boolean relations from the prepositional calculus. He has used this

system in the analysis of texts in languages as far apart as Navajo, English, Hungarian, and Rapa Nui (Easter Island) and his students have used these relations to study texts from a number of African languages as well [Werner and Schoepfle, 1987].

Riegel [1970] was one of the first psychologists to use relational models to describe the organization of memory. He was revolutionary in another way as well; he looked at language and memory not in children or college students but in mature adults. He also studied the dissolution of language in the aging and in aphasics. Riegel divided relations into two fundamental categories depending on their "nature." Logical relations are derived by abstraction from the words themselves. Examples of logical relations are superordination (as in table-furniture) and coordination (as in table-chair). Infralogical relations or physical relations are based on the denoted objects, events, or qualities, and are a product of abstracting physical features from items. Examples of Riegel's infralogical relations are: parts (as in table-leg), locations (as in zebra-Africa), and substance (as in table-wood). Mel'cuk seems to make the same distinctions, at least tacitly, with a distinct preference for the logical as opposed to the infralogical relations. When asked why he does not include the part-whole relation among his collection of lexical functions, he explained [personal communication to M. Evens] that part-whole is "too semantic" and "too vague."

Becker [1975] has made a strong case for the phrasal lexicon, with the argument that when we produce language we seem to use units much larger than single words. sometimes even whole sentences. Professional lexicographers seem to agree; more than sixteen percent of the entries in <u>Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary</u> are headed by multi-word expressions. People who work on machine translation are also already convinced that they need to store many idiomatic phrases. Admission of multi-word lexical items to a relational lexicon is likely to require a richer stock of relations to describe the organizational structure of the lexicon.

Susanna Cumming [1986 a, b; Cumming and Albano, 1986] contributed to the field of lexicon design through the Master Lexicon of the JANUS Project. This lexicon is intended to handle all the lexical needs for the grammars associated with the JANUS system: RUS, an ATN parsing grammar, and Nigel, a systemic generation grammar. The Master Lexicon contains morphological information, syntactic information, semantic information, and phrasal entries as well as word entries.

Ahlswede [1988] built a lexical database containing syntactic and semantic data from information in <u>Webster's Seventh Collegiate Dictionary</u> (W7). He investigated the role of relations other than taxonomy in the dictionary, and he studied the relational links between the entries for the words. Evens has been studying lexical-semantic relations for many years [1983]. One focus of her work is automatic thesaurus construction using this analysis of W7's definitions with Ahlswede. She argues that it is impossible to separate lexical and encyclopedic knowledge due to the fact that NLP systems need both knowledge about words and knowledge about the world [1981].

Ahlswede and Evens [1988b] describe the design of a relational lexicon for the use of the Michael Reese Hospital Stroke Consultant. They structured their lexicon as a semantic network with lexical-semantic relations. The lexicon contains semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic information to support parsing and text generation. Their relational lexicon consists of a set of nodes, one for each entry in the vocabulary. Some of

the lexical-semantic relations that they present are synonymy, taxonomy (the "is-a-kindof" relation), and the part-whole relation. Here are some examples of their work:

artery	TAX	blood-vessel
aphasia	DYSFUN	speech
cerebellum	PART	brain
temporal-lobe	ABOVE	third-nerve
frontal-lobe	BEFORE	parietal-lobe
reactions	PLURAL	reaction
weakness	STATE	weak

Pin-Ngern [1990] built a Lexical Database for English that contains syntactic and semantic information for 50,000 words separated by parts of speech. There is information about word classification, selection restrictions, lexical semantic relationships, and syntax. She used the <u>Collins English Dictionary</u> (CED) as the major source. This lexicon was designed to support the needs of most NLP applications, including text generation applications, information retrieval and natural language understanding. The lexical database has been used in several experiments in information retrieval [Ahlswede and Evens, 1988 a, b; Fox et al., 1988; Nutter et al., 1990]. This lexicon is stored in an Oracle Relational Database Management System.

Ingria [1987] made an extensive study of verb complements and a compendium of verb feature information in natural language processing systems.

Boguraev [Boguraev et al., 1987, Boguraev and Briscoe, 1987, Boguraev, 1987] built the British National Lexicon on the basis of automatic analysis of the Longman <u>Dictionary of Contemporary English</u> at the University of Cambridge. Boguraev then moved to IBM, and he is now at Apple, but still involved in problems of Lexicography.

#### 2.3 Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary

An Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary (ECD) is an essential component of any full-fledged linguistic description within the Meaning-Text Model (MTM). This model describes a natural language as a kind of logical device that associates with any given meaning M the set of all the texts in this language that are expressions of M (and which are consequently synonymous with one another), and with any text T, the set of all the meanings that are expressed by T (and that are, so to speak, homonymous with one another) [Zholkovskii and Mel'cuk, 1967].

Establishing correspondences between meaning and texts is conceived of as a multi-stage process: translating a given meaning, that is, a semantic representation from one level to another, until one of the corresponding texts is reached (or vice versa: 'translation' of a given text, that is a phonetic representation, from one level to another, until one of the corresponding meanings is reached).

Until recently, the predominant type of monolingual dictionary was the comprehensive dictionary, to which the user would refer on encountering an unfamiliar word or phrase in a text. In other words, such dictionaries were oriented toward making texts comprehensible (i.e., providing for the transition from a text to the meaning expressed by it). Using the well known opposition between passive grammar (= text

understanding) and active grammar (= text production), the ECD tries to provide help in moving from meaning to text.

The ECD [Zholkovsky and Mel'cuk, 1988] allows for the representation of three basic type of relations between words. The first type is semantic (paradigmatic) relationships between words: e.g., synonymy, semantic proximity, etc.

The second type is syntactic (syntagmatic) relationships between the entry word, which is semantically a predicate, and other words or phrases, which may be syntactically dependent on it in a sentence and which are the expression of its semantic actants. These sentence elements are used to fill in the slots of the active syntactic valence of the entry word and are called its actants. The active syntactic valence is specified by means of a table called a government pattern.

The pattern includes three parts. For each semantic actant of the entry word, the corresponding syntactic actant; the form that each syntactic actant takes on the surface: and which of the syntactic actants are incompatible (or, conversely, are inseparable, i.e., invariably used together), and under what conditions. The third part of the pattern includes the lexical (both paradigmatic and syntagmatic) relationships between the entry word and those other words that can either replace it in a text (under specific circumstances), or be joined to it in more or less fixed word combinations (also known as phraseological combinations).

For several years, Nicoletta Calzolari and her team at the University of Pisa have been working on the development of a large lexical database designed to be a repository of the entire vocabulary of Italian almost as detailed as the ECD. They have collected approximately 106,000 lemmas or root forms, more than one million word forms, and about 186,000 definitions. Their database contains morphological syntactic, semantic, and thesaurus information [1988]. The semantic relations included in their database are shown in Figure 2.

hierarchical relations; synonymy relations; derivational relations; other taxonomies not organized on the IS-A relation; co-occurrence or collocation relations; terminological sublexicons; case-type or argument relations; lexical fields;

Figure 2. Components of Calzolari's Lexical Date Base

#### 2.4 Research in Arabic Language Processing Elsewhere

Natural Language Processing in the Arabic language still needs a lot of work if we compare it with European languages. The first Arabic institution had computers in 1962. This was the National Planning Institute in Egypt. Most work there focused on Arabization, printing Arabic characters like names and addresses [Ali, 1988a, 1988b]. The first application dealing with Arabic computational linguistics was developed by Said Haidar in 1973. He built a computer system to deal with Arabic script.

In 1985 the Al-Lamieh company built a morphological system to deal with Arabic words. This system can handle vowelized or unvowelized Arabic words. This step was very important for Arabization.

Hegazi and Elsharkawi [1986] described a computer aided morphological system for vowelized Arabic words. The system was used to support a lexical analyzer for diacriticized Arabic text and used to derive the root of the word based on the morphological and phonetic rules of the Arabic language.

El-Dessouki et al. [1988] built an expert system for Arabic sentences by using Prolog on the IBM-PC. They implemented a syntactic analyzer. This analyzer can extract the triliteral root and generate the morphological patterns for each root and its meaning.

El-Sadany and Hashish [1989] described a morphological system that was able to find the root and the morphological stricture of Arabic words that have triliteral roots; they tested their system on vowelized words.

Khayat and Al-Muhtaseb [1988] presented a method of knowledge representation for natural language. This method divided knowledge into subject and action. Subjects were represented in a manner similar to semantic networks; relations among subjects were represented by arcs. The system was used in understanding Arabic in a subject domain involving human beings, plants, and the interactions between them.

Gheith and Aboul-Ela [1989] presented a Computer Based Arabic Syntax Analyzer based on the concept of separation between processing algorithms and linguistic information. The aim of their research was to develop a system that can understand Arabic and to complete the modeling of Arabic grammar.

Wahba et al. [1990] designed a system used to provide a phonetic transcription for an experimental Arabic text-to-speech system. The system could also be used to find word base forms for an Arabic speech recognition system. Farghaly [1989] developed a natural language understanding system for Arabic. This system (NLUSA) has two main components: one is a query language that works on semantic representations of text; the other is a subsystem that takes Arabic input and produces a semantic representation passing through a level of syntactic analysis. Hanash [1989] proposed to build an Arabic dictionary for Arabic verbs, since Arabic verb morphology is so complex. He used the Almoheet Dictionary by Farozbady, extracted all the verbs, then sorted them alphabetically and studied the characteristics of the verbs in addition to their selection restrictions.

#### 2.5 Research in Arabic Language Processing at IIT

Work on Arabic Language Processing at Illinois Institute of Technology is led by Martha Evens. She established a Laboratory for Research in Arabic Language Processing several years ago. During the last seven years eleven students have completed Ph.D. dissertations in Arabic Language Processing. Work in Information Retrieval was begun by Al-Kharashi [1991]. He developed a system called MICRO-AIRS and used it to experiment with alternative choices of index terms, words, stems and roots. Jambi [1991] designed a system for recognizing Arabic characters. Al-Khrisat [1992a, 1992b] designed an Arabic lexicon-thesaurus using lexical-semantic relations to support information retrieval. In 1992 Abu-Salem developed a microcomputer-based bibliographic information retrieval system for Arabic documents (Arabic IRS), that interprets queries and retrieves relevant abstracts using the thesaurus developed by Al-Khrisat. Hammouri [1994] built an Arabic lexical database to support natural language processing. Abu-Arafah [1995] developed a partial grammar for the Arabic language suitable for machine parsing and automatic text generation. In [1995] Hmeidi designed and implemented an automatic word and phrase indexing system for information retrieval. Arif [1995] and

Armouti [1995] designed and implemented Al-Risalah, a pure object-oriented programming language with an Arabic language interface.

Al-Shalabi [1996] designed and implemented an Arabic morphological program to support language processing applications. Alsamara [1996] built a much larger, more detailed Arabic lexical database for the computer sublanguage to support information retrieval, text generation, and parsing. I have used Alsamara's lexicon to develop a list of lexical-semantic relations for Arabic, and to use them in building a thesaurus for the vocabulary extracted from Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science.

#### **CHAPTER III**

#### SYNONYMY EXPERIMENT

Apresyan et al. [1970, p.5] define synonymy as "two words should be semantically substitutable for each other, and the meaning of one should be expressible through the other in any context." Synonymy is said to be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive [Evens et al., 1983].

The synonymy relation is very important in thesauri and dictionaries. It has also played a central role in linguistic theory. It does not seem to be as central in folk definitions as taxonomy (Casagrande and Hale, 1967).

Many American linguists have argued that synonymy in the sense defined by Apresyan et al. does not exist. Instead, they argue that, if the sounds and the shapes of the words are different, there is no synonymy. Bloomfield was the most influential American linguist before Chomsky and his book is still required reading in most American Linguistics Departments. He states that two different words never have exactly the same meaning. "Each one of a set of forms like *quick*, *fast*, *swift*, *rapid*, *speed*, differs from all the others in some constant and conventional feature of meaning." [1933/1965, p.145]. Goodman in his paper on Likeness of Meaning [1966] insists that there are no two substitutable words without semantic differences.

I went to ask our Illinois Institute of Technology expert in Philosophy of Language, John W. Snapper, Professor of Philosophy, what he thinks about this issue. Snapper believes that "there is synonymy if X can be substituted for Y in context C (sub

X/Y in C) without changing the significance of C, but in this case synonymy is related to context C." He states that no words are substitutable in all contexts.

Khalil Ahmad Khalifeh, a linguist from King Saud University told me that he believes that synonymy exists in Arabic Language. He cited their standard text "Science of Semantics" by Ahmed Omar [1982].

Lyons [1968] developed the idea of mutual substitutability into an elaborate linguistic methodology. It requires five steps (adapted from Lyons. p.150):

•Take two candidates, both differing in one element.

•Make sure the contexts of both are identical.

•Get informant judgment of sameness of meaning of the items by which the target sentences differ.

•Place these two items in a variety of sentences.

•Get informant judgments on sameness of meaning in all sentences.

I decided to use this methodology to find out for myself whether synonymy exists in our corpus of abstracts.

I took seven abstracts from the Computer Science corpus and identified several synonyms. These seven abstracts were chosen because they were successfully parsed by Abu-Arafah [1995]. I also wrote a program to substitute one for another in the text with the goal of getting a better understanding of the synonymy relation.

I displayed the seven abstracts with the synonyms substituted for the original words at one of our regular Wednesday meetings of the Arabic Language Processing Laboratory. I gave each member of the group a form to fill out with his judgments about the substitution. For each substitution I asked people to state whether the resulting sentence made perfect sense (100%) or only partial sense (50%) or made no sense at all (0%).

To our surprise everybody agreed that the sentences with substitutions were perfectly good except for one particular substitution of [سمرينا] insist

in thirty abstracts.

Again we had perfect agreement that the result of this substitution was terrible. Let me emphasize that all these abstracts belong to the computer sublanguage.

If we had made this substitution in psychology texts we might have obtained different results. The definition of synonymy given by Apresyan is not so outrageous; as eventually some thirty substitutions were judged to be workable by the group. Note that the translations of the abstracts also come from the Proceedings.

Examples of the synonyms in the abstracts:

Holy Book ] مسحف Holy Book ] = [ تران Holy Book ] [ alm(9)lomat المعلومات information] = [ albynat العلومات data ] new ] الجديبيدة new ] = [ aljdedh الجديثية new ] majority ] = [ glabeh غالبية majority ] = [ glabeh معظم most ] = [ glabeh decided ] = [ almsthtmh المستحدمة decided ] insist ] = [ asrana صمعت insist ] = [ asrana اصررت capability ] = [ alstt(a)(9)h المتسبدرة capability ] syn [tgleale تنبيه decrease] = [tanges تنبيه reduce ] value ] القيمة cost ] = [ algemh التكلف value ] introduce ] يقسيده show] = [ ygadem يقسيده introduce ] similar ] المنالة similar المنسبية similar المنسبية syn [ almshbh simple ] = [ basedh بسيطة simple ] = [ basedh بسيطة simple ] required ] = [ almtlwb المطلوب required ] = [ almtlwb المراد close ] الحادية adjacent] = [ almhydeh الجناورة SYN [ almjawrh syn [ almkhtalefh المحتلفة different ] = [ almtnaw(9)h المحتلفة variant ] designated ] = [ alm(9)ynah المعينية specified ] = [ alm(9)ynah المحددة

SYN [ almshakel المسعوبة problems ] = [ als(9)wbaht المشكل difficulty ] SYN [ lttheh لترضيع to show ] = [ ltbsedh لترضيع to simplify ]

#### Abstract Number 7

يستعرض هذا البحث طرقا متوازية حديثة للتسريع الفانق لمعالجة معلومات الصور الرقمية متعددة الأطياف مع إمكانية مضاعفة استيعاب المعالجات لهذه المعلومات بتحميل المقدار التخيلي لأرقام تحويل فوربير بالنصف الأخر من هذه المعلومات و تستخدم هذه الطرق معالجات التر انسبيوتر الحديثة مع لغة اوكام للبرمجة.

#### **English Translation of Abstract Number 7**

Parallel processing techniques are used to increase the speed and efficiency of handling remote sensing data. This technique with inter leaving of data from images are proposed in order to expedite the performance of Fast Fourier Transfs. The algorithm uses the fast transputer processors of simultaneous handling of two or more data streams are demonstrated.

#### Abstract Number 7 (underlined words are inserted synonyms)

ي<u>قدم</u> هذا البحث طرقا متوازية <u>جديدة</u> للتسريع الفانق لمعالجة <u>بيانات</u> الصور الرقمية متعددة الأطياف مع إمكانية مضاعفة استيعاب المعالجات لهذه <u>البيانات</u> بتحميل المقدار التخيلي لأرقام تحويل فوربير بالنصف الأخر من هذه المعلومات و تستخدم هذه الطرق معالجات التر انسبيوتر <u>الجديدة</u> مع لغة اوكام للبرمجة

In this abstract (#7) each underlined word is a synonym replacing the original word. The words involved were [معدية show مستعرض show الحديثة حديثة], المعدية recent, المعدينة new] and المعدية information المعدومات المعدوم

to have unaltered meaning. We performed the same experiment with Abstract Number 17.

#### **Abstract Number 17**

يقدم هذا البحث طريقة سهلة و سريعة لتحديد شكل و حجم الحرف في النص العربي. تستخدم هذه الطريقة ثلاث جداول لأشكال و حجوم و خصانص الحروف العربية و اللاتينية, وبمعالجة سهلة لخصانص الحروف المجاورة يمكن تحديد شكل و حجم الحرف المراد.

#### **English Translation of Abstract Number 17**

The paper presents a simple and fast algorithm to determine the shape and width of a given Arabic character within Arabic text. The same algorithm is currently implemented in a bilingual information retrieval system utilizing the alphanumeric mode of the IBM PC/AT. The working and characteristic of the text editor are discussed.

#### Abstract Number 17 (underlined words are inserted synonyms)

<u>يعرض</u> هذا البحث طريقة <u>بسيطة</u> و سريعة لتحديد شكل و حجم الحرف في النص العربي. تستخدم هذه الطريقة ثلاث جداول لأشكال و حجوم و خصائص الحروف العربية و اللاتينية, وبمعالجة سهلة لخصانص الحروف <u>المحاذية</u> يمكن تحديد شكل و حجم الحرف <u>المطلوب</u>

In Abstract 17 each underlined word is synonym replacing the original word. The substitutions are [ يقدم introduce, يعرض show], [سطان easy, يعرض simple], [المجاورة], adjacent, المحاذية close] and المراد] wanted, المطاوب required]. If we look at the Arabic text, we find it reasonable. The sentences with substitutions were judged to be perfectly
reasonable and to have unaltered meaning. We carried out the same experiment with Abstract 44.

### **Abstract Number 44**

في هذا المقالة نعرض الطرق المختلفة لتخطيط و تصميم شبكات من منظور الملكة العربية السعودية, و تركز المقالة على مشكلة المهام المحددة للوصلات و ذلك لأهميتها و تركز المقالة على ثلاثة أنواع من الشبكات و و الموزعة, وتتعرض المقالة أيضا للمشكلة الهامة و الخاصة بحماية البيانات باستخدام هي :الننجمية, الشجرية طرق الشيفرة.

#### **English Translation of Abstract Number 44**

Discusses the approach in the planning and designing the required computer communications network. Definition of user requirements and network design methodology constitutes the core of the planning methodology. User requirements of Saudi, universities, banks and Ministries of health and Education are outlined. Three different network topologies, namely star, tree and distributed are analyzed for the Kingdom situation. Link assignment problem in the design process is tackled. Two basic approaches for data security, namely data encryption standard and public key cryptosystem are briefly described.

#### Abstract Number 44 (underlined words are inserted synonyms)

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

في هذا المقالة نقدم الطرق المتنوعة لتخطيط و تصميم شبكات من منظور الملكة العربية السعودية وتركز المقالة على مشكلة المهام <u>المعينة ل</u>لوصلات و ذلك لأهميتها وتركز المقالة على ثلاثة أنواع من الشبكات و هي :الننجمية, الشجرية و الموزعة, وتتعرض المقالة أيضا للمشكلة الهامة و الخاصة بحماية البيانات باستخدام طرق الشيفرة. In Abstract 44 each underlined word is a synonym replacing the original. The substitutions are [ المحتفة introduce ], [ المحتلفة different, المعتنى variant ] and [ specified, المعينة designated ] If we look at the meaning of the Arabic text, we find it reasonable. The sentences with substitutions were judged to be perfectly reasonable and to have unaltered meaning.

## Abstract Number 83

معظم الكمبيوتر ات العربية المستعملة حاليا تعتمد على مقياس و و م و التي معها وحدة المدخلات و المخرجات لمعالجة النص العربي. ومن جهة أخرى لقد صممنا ونفذنا و و م فاصة لها المقدرة على معالجة شكل الحرف مع التشكيل, و الميزة هي تقليل التكلفة, وتحسين الفعالية و النتانج , سهولة برمجة و معالجة الحرف العربي

### **English Translation of Abstract Number 83**

The design, simulation and implementation of SPARC- a special purpose computer for Arabic text processing are discussed. The machine includes special instruction to handle Arabic characters along with their shapes and vowels (Harakat). The system will be particularly useful for office automation and for Arabic databases where unvowelizwd and vowelized text might be mixed. The advantage of this computer are: reduced overall system cost. improved performance and throughput, ease of programming and flexibility in character manipulation.

## Abstract Number 83 (underlined words are inserted synonyms)

<u>غالبية</u> الكمبيوترات العربية <u>المستخدمة</u> حاليا تعتمد على مقياس و.و.م. والتي معها وحدة المدخلات ومخرجات لمعالجة النص العربي.ومن جهة أخرى لقد <u>أصررنا</u> ونفذنا و.و.م خاصة لمها المقدرة على معالجة شكل الحرف مع التشكيل, و الميزة هي تقليل التكلفة, وتحسين الفعالية والنتانج , سهولة برمجة و معالجة الحرف العربي

In this Abstract 83 each underlined word is a synonym substituted for the original as follows: [معظم] majority ], [المستخدمة used, المستخدمة decided ], [المستخدمة persist, insist], [ القيمة decrease, التكلفة reduce] and [ القيمة cost, التكلفة value]. The sentences with substitutions were perfectly correct except for the particular substitution of [

persist, أصررنا insist]. If we look at the meaning of the Arabic text we find a problem in the new text at this point.

Note that the results here suggest that synonymy does exist at least with the Computer Science sublanguage. The problem in the Abstract 83 suggest that with polysemous words, like [معرينا persist, أصرينا insist], we need to consider word senses, not

words. The WordNet created at Princeton University by George Miller and a team of colleagues uses "synsets" to handle this problem [Miller et al., 1990]. In other words, they record of synonymy between word senses, not between words.

### **CHAPTER IV**

## **LEXICAL-SEMANTIC RELATIONS**

### 4.1 Definitions

A lexical-semantic relation is a rule that links words together. These links may represent semantic, syntactic, or morphological relationships. The properties of a relation are important, since they enable us to make inferences. These relations should be made a part of an Arabic lexicon.

### 4.2 Taxonomy

Taxonomy can be used to relate nouns or adjectives or verbs: a swan ISA bird and a bird ISA animal. Taxonomy has the transitivity property. If A ISA B and B ISA C, then A ISA C. Computer models of memory have used taxonomy extensively starting with Quillian [1968] and Raphael [1968].

Example:

[ حيسوان Animal ] = [ اسما المسد Tax [ Lion

### 4.3 Antonymy

Antonymy is not an easy relation to describe even though this relation is familiar. Casagrande and Hale [1967, p.183] discovered that antonymy appears often in Papago folk definitions. They classified as antonymy all examples in which x is described as "the negation of y, its opposite." Researchers have identified the following kinds of oppositeness [Evens et al. 1983].

where assertion of one implies the denial of the other, but the denial of one does not imply the assertion of the other.

The assertion of one implies the denial of the other and the other way around.

The converse of <u>give</u> is <u>take</u>. We describe this as conversiveness. The core meaning is the same but the arguments are switched.

Reck stands for reciprocal kinship. This relation can be used to represent relationships between people [Evens and Smith, 1978].

## 4.4 Grading

Grading has been used by different researchers and authors to refer to linearly ordered, or scalar continua. Casagrande and Hale [1967, p. 184] defined grading as "where x is defined with respect to its placement in a series or spectrum that includes y." Grading is not reflexive or symmetric but some types of grading are transitive; others are not.

Examples:

Queuing [ elahad الأحد Sunday ] = [ elthnean الاثنين Monday ] Stage [ jled ماء ice ]=[ mai ماء water ] Here, the queuing relation seems to be transitive but the stage relation is not.

### 4.5 Attribute

The attribute or modification relation is used to describe distinguishing aspects of nouns or verbs. Casagrande and Hale [1967, p.168] classify as attributive any definition in which "X is defined with respect to one or more distinctive or characteristic attributes Y."

Examples:

Time [ h(a)r حر hot ] = [ S(a)yf صيف summer ] Color [ sma' محماء sky ] = [ ezarga'a أزرق blue ] Home [ jamal صحرائ camel ] = [ sahra'a صحرائ desert ]

## 4.6 Collocational Relations

Collocational relations are found by the study of repeated co-occurrences of words in consistent patterns. The following collocation relations are derived from the work of Apresyan et al. [1970].

Cont [ s(a)lam بنف peace ] = [ y(a)hf(a)th بنف maintain ]

The Cont relation relates a noun to the verb meaning to cause it to continue. The Fact relation also relates nouns to verbs. In this case the associated verb indicates the standard function of the noun. Sometimes these verbs are called "functional verbs."

Fact [ thyab یلــــر clothes ] = [ y(a)lb(a)s یلــــر wear ]

## **4.7 Paradigmatic Relations**

Paradigmatic relations relate words that have the same core meaning. Sometimes, the relation is expressed by a kind of derivational morphology.

Examples:

Become [ ahmr احمرار red ] = [ ahmmar احمرار red ] Mode [ yalbas العسبي wear ] = [ sha^by يلبس traditional ] Terminate [ juo(9) حرع hunger] = [ ysh(9)

## 4.8 Part-Whole

In 1967, Casagrande and Hale called the part-whole relation "the constituent relation" and described it as "X is defined as being a constituent or part of Y" [p.156].

"In English the part-whole relation seems to be expressed most often with have, of, or the possessive". The part-whole relation is important for Arabic.

[ ras راس head ] = part [ jesm راس body ] [ worayga ورقه petal ] = part [ zahre روقه flower ] [ isba رهره finger ] = part [ yad اصبع hand ] [ yad حسب hand ] = part [ jesm رسد body ]

The part-whole relation has been proven to be very important in definition-making as demonstrated by Smith's study [1985], which found <u>part</u> to be the second most common noun used in noun definitions in <u>Webster's Seventh Collegiate Dictionary</u>

[Iris et al., 1988] analyzed the use of the part-whole concept and the word "part" in definitions of body-part words. After they analyzed dictionary data, they found the

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

part-whole relation to have four different senses: the relation of the functional component to its whole, the relation of the segment to the segmented whole, the membership relation. and the set inclusion relation.

#### **CHAPTER V**

## DATA AND DATABASE

This chapter describes the design of the database in which I have stored the information about lexical-semantic relationships that I extract from the Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science. This database is an extension of the one developed by Alsamara and described in his thesis [1996]. I agree completely with the relational database approach used by Alsamara.

This database approach has several advantages. The data model is completely independent of how data is stored and accessed. The resulting database is a combination lexicon and thesaurus like those described by Calzolari [1988] and Evens [Evens et al., 1991]. Alsamara's lexicon database is a relational database stored in the PC Access System marketed by Microsoft. It is designed to store sixteen bit characters and it is available for use with Arabic Windows.

Abu-Salem [1992] entered 120 abstracts. Hmeidi entered 122 more to give us 242 abstracts, which he used in a series of information retrieval experiments [Hmeidi et al., 1997]. The lexicon developed by Alsamara was extracted from a corpus of 242 abstracts of papers in computer science from the Saudi Arabian National conferences.

Alsamara's lexical database contains five tables. The Main Table has entries for each word in the 242 abstracts in our corpus. The other four tables are the Verb Table, the Particle Table, the Noun Table, and the Adjective Table. (Although adjectives and nouns are usually considered to be the same part of speech in Arabic, Alsamara separated them because of the necessity of storing masculine and feminine forms for adjectives.) My

35

database is stored as a group of tables added to the core set of five tables defined and built by Alsamara. As a first step I entered 16 more abstracts and then added to Alasamara's database all the new words in those 16 abstracts. This gave me an opportunity to get thoroughly familiar with Alsamara's work.

I have considered two different approaches to the problem of extending the lexical database to support the thesaurus that I am building. The simplest approach is to add just one table to contain all the word-relation-word triples, sorted by the first word in the triple. This approach is ideal if the most common use is to find all words related to a given word. It will not work so well when we need to manage applications where we want to use some relations but not others.

The alternative design is one in which data about different relations is stored in separate tables. This design supports a wide variety of applications. I have implemented the thesaurus in this form first. If this design proves to create performance problems for a particular type of application, I can write a simple SQL program to produce combined tables. My design for the Thesaurus Database appears in Figure 5 below. It uses Alsamara's Main Table plus a table for each of the major relations in the thesaurus.

### **5.1 Thesaurus Tables**

The thesaurus contains approximately 4547 different word forms (258 Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science)

Relation	No. of Entries
Antonymy	1073

36



Collocational Relations	190
Part-Whole	180
Paradigmatic Relations	141
Total	4547



Figure 3. Organization of the Thesaurus Database

The Synonymy Table contains words and their synonyms. Each word from the first column of the Synonymy Table (Figure 4) appears in the Main Table.

Word	<u>Synonym</u>
Information المعلومات	Data البيانات
Recent الحديث	New الجديــــة

Figure 4. Synonymy Table for Arabic Words

In the Taxonomy Table we store all ISA relationships between words. The Taxonomy Table for Arabic words is illustrated in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Entries in the Taxonomy Table.

In the Antonymy Table we store all examples of opposites found in the abstracts. A small piece of the Antonymy Table for Arabic words is illustrated in Figure 6.

<u>Word</u>	<u>Antonym</u>
hot ساخن	cold بارد
married متزوج	single أعزب

Figure 6. Entries in the Antonymy Table

## 5.2 Methodology for Finding Thesaurus Entries

Most Arabic dictionaries are organized in the traditional way; each entry consists of a root and all its derivatives. I searched entries for related words and then tried to figure out the relationships involved. The Arabic dictionaries do not include all semantic relations many of the new technology related words that appear in the Arabic Computer Science abstracts did not appear in the dictionary at all. The Computer Science abstracts do not handle vowelization. The lack of vowelization in the abstracts sometimes made it hard to ascertain the words in question. I also followed Apresyan's definitions of the different semantic relations; with new words this seemed to be a more suitable way to find thesaurus entries. The problem of prefixes and suffixes is avoided using these definitions, and entries can be found for any word without going back to its root.

#### **CHAPTER VI**

#### THE THESAURUS INTERFACE

While building the Thesaurus required a great deal of the manual effort, there are several ways in which the computer provided support for this effort. I have stored those relationships in different files using a Microsoft Access Database. Also, I wrote a program in Visual Basic as an interface to read those files from the database, so that the user can enter a word and find all the related words. The system can be used to enter relationships as well. This application requires a Microsoft Arabic Windows environment to run.

Component	Description/Comment
Microprocessor	80386 or higher
RAM	8MB
Hard disk	A hard disk is required
Microsoft Windows 95	Arabic Version 7
Mouse	Recommended

Table 1. System Requirements for the Thesaurus

I designed and implemented an Arabic Thesaurus containing the vocabulary of the 258 computer science abstracts. The system was designed to help the user invoke various procedures for manipulating the system from a Graphic User Interface (GUI). It is Windows 95 oriented and menu driven. The instructions for using this system are very simple:

- Double-click القاموس الموضوعي on the Windows icon from the Program Manager.
- Enter the word you want to search for and click on the relation you want.

The user has the opportunity to print the relationships that appear in each window. The user can exit by hitting the EXIT button.

Figure 7. Basic Information Window.

V 3

(: En 3 20 01:49 e

Start By Microsoft Word Ar...

عــــى 👦	القامـــوص الموضو					
		[		و الکلمـــة : مشهور	أدخسز	
	علاقة الجزء بالكل	علاقة الصفة والبوصوف	علاقسة تعنيفيسة	علاقـــة جنوايـــة		
	علاقية التفسير	علاتية التجبييي	علاقية الترييج	علاقية التيرادني		
				متروف		
_	للاقة المغتارة.	للمة الإختيارات لمعرفة نتيجة اله	يع المغمص ثم إضغط على 3	لمليمات: أدخل الكلمة في المر	5	
				طباعـــة		
Start	يوم البوضوعــــى 🖒				¥ ]	(FBBBO)) 11:52,

Figure 8. Synonymy Window. Figure 8 shows the Synonymy Window. The user has entered the word [معروف] and found the Synonym



Figure 9. Antonymy Window. Figure 9 shows the Antonymy Window. The user has entered the word [heat, الخرارة], and found the Antonym [cold, الجرارة], listed in the Window.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.



Figure 10. Attribute Window. Figure 10 shows the Attribute Window. The user has entered the word [spectrum, ألوان] and found the Attribute [colors, ألوان] listed in the window.



Figure 11. Part-Whole Window. Figure 11 shows the Part-Whole Window. The user has entered the word and found [finger, أسابع] Part [hand , يد] listed in the window.



Figure 12. Grading Window. Figure 12 shows the Grading Window. The user has ientered the word and found the [water, اللتاء Grad [ice, اللتان] listed in the window.



Figure 13 Collocation Relation Window. Figure 13 shows the Collocation Window. The user has entered the word [trees, الاشحار] and found the collocation [forest, الغابات] listed in the window.



Figure 14. Paradigmatic Relation Window. Figure 14 shows the Paradigmatic Relation Window. The user has entered the word [perish, يتلاشى] and found the related word [disappear, إنتلاشى] listed in the window.

## CHAPTER VII

### MORPHOLOGY

Morphology is the study of word structure [Ritchie et al., 1992], the area of Linguistics that is concerned with studying the internal structure of words. There are two kinds of morphology: derivational and inflectional morphology. Derivational morphology is the formation of new words from existing words. Inflectional morphology involves adding affixes in order to produce different forms. The best choice of morphological information for the lexicon of the Arabic language is still an issue of great controversy. Some researchers believe that the lexicon must be based on roots; others believe it has to contain all inflected forms.

In some languages, such as English, the common practice is to store all words needed, including those formed by adding prefixes and suffixes to other words [Yahya, 1989]. There are systems that store all the inflected forms of a lexical entry because of efficiency considerations [Ingria, 1987]. The strategy of storing all possible words in Arabic, which is used for some systems in the English language, does not appear to be practical.

One of the easiest ways to understand which form variants should be stored in the lexicon-thesaurus is to consider the parser. A parser is presented with many sentences; the sentence, in turn, is made up of sequences of characters delimited by white spaces. Such strings of characters (orthographic words) can be one of the following:

50

Root: the word is not derived from any other word.

Stem: the word is derived from a root word. Most stems denote a related entity, action, or concept.

Augmented word: the word is either a stem word or root word with bound morphemes, attached to it. The term "bound morphemes" is used to denote specifically the prefixed definite article, pronominal suffixes, and certain prepositions, which are attached to the words they modify without a word space.

The morphology of the Arabic language depends on a root-pattern structure. Most Arabic words are built up on a basic consonantal skeleton. The two concepts of root and pattern are fundamental to the structure of Arabic words. The root usually has some fundamental kernel of meaning, which is expanded or modified by the pattern. A verb root is usually a sequence of three consonants (a triliteral root). Yahya [1989] defined a root word as a word that is not derived from any other word or any combination of words. Some roots contain four letters or five letters. Al-Shalabi [1996] found that 95% of the Arabic word types in the abstracts are derived from a triliteral root.

An example of a root is the consonant sequence KTB. The core meaning of this root is the concept of "writing".

For example:

[ k(a)t(a)ba کستب he wrote ] [ iketbu کستبر they write ] The Arabic word for morphology has the root (srf). The basic idea is changing direction, averting, and flowing freely. Tasrif is the total range of morphological patterns derived from a given root [Owens, 1988]. The Tasrif of the root "ktb" (concerning writing) is shown as an example in Figure 16.

Word		Pattern	Relation
kataba	كتبوء	f(a)9(a)l(a)	past
yaktubu	يكبو	y(a)f91 (u)	imperfect
maktub	مىكتوب	m(a)f9(u)wl	past participle
takataba	تكتبوا	t(a)f(a)9(a)l(a)	reciprocal

Figure 15. The Tasrif of the Root (ktb)

Tasrif describes the changes in the word as different form variants derived from the same root.

### 7.2 Ishtiqaq

"Ishtiqaq" in Arabic is the process of forming one word form and meaning from another, which involves a change in both form and meaning [Owens, 1988]. Most Arabic words are derived from roots by adding affixes to the root. Ishtiqaq refers to seven nouns, all derived from verbs: the comparative noun, the active and passive participles, the verbal nouns of time and place, the verbal noun of instrument, and the noun of exaggeration.

Examples:

[walad ولدان boy]=[waladan ولدان two boys] by adding the suffix [ktb يكتب writing]=[yaktbu يكتب writes] by adding the prefix

## 7.3 Added Sounds and Morphemes

When we talk about tasrif, we are concerned with the root and morphological pattern. Augmented or derived words contain a root morpheme and suffix. For example:

al-rajul	الرجـــل	the man	(def + man)
katab-a	ک_تبا	they (dual)	wrote
katab-u	كــتب	they (masculi	ne plural) wrote

Figure 16. Augumented Morphemes and Morphological Patterns

In this example, there are two obvious candidates for segmental morphemes, a root and prefix and verb + pronoun suffix.

In the structure of the lexicon-thesaurus it is necessary to distinguish various pieces of information regarding orthographic words. Such information, therefore, can be used by natural language application systems, in order to associate each word presented to the system with at least one word in the lexicon-thesaurus. In case of augumented words, it is necessary to identify the prefixed definite article and suffixed pronouns and other affixes before any other processing.

# CHAPTER VIII

### CONCLUSION

#### 8.1 Summary

The major goal of the research described in this thesis was to find all the relationships between the words that occur in the Alsamara Lexicon, to investigate lexical-semantic relations for Arabic and make a list of appropriate ones, and use them in building a thesaurus. The vocabulary in the Alsamara lexicon was extracted from our corpus of 258 Arabic abstracts of papers in computer science in machine readable form. This thesaurus was designed to support several natural language applications including information retrieval parsing and text generation; it will be tested in ongoing research at the Arabic Language Processing Laboratory at Illinois Institute of Technology and in future research on the Arabic language. My own first goal was to learn a variety of things about the language, most particularly about relationships between word form and word meaning.

We realized that much of the work done for other languages such as English in the field of lexicon design for natural language applications is applicable to the Arabic language. Some relations seem to be language universal, particularly taxonomy (often called the IS-A relation by computer scientists). Others are language specific.

We started with the relational database created by Alsamara and redesigned it to store relationships between words as well as the words themselves. We created thesaurus entries. We wrote a program in Visual Basic as an interface to retrieve words and relationships from the database, so that the user can select the appropriate relation or related word. This application requires a Microsoft Arabic Windows 95 environment and Visual Basic Version 5.0 to run.

## **8.2 Future Research**

The first area that needs further research is the expansion of the thesaurus to cover a more extensive vocabulary. Most of the papers in the our corpus involve computer science. My next version will include the vocabulary from a newspaper corpus, the Al Raya corpus, and investigate the lexical semantic relations between those words.

The second area of planned research is to study the effectiveness of the thesaurus in Information Retrieval. I am already planning an experiment with Akkawi's system

[1998].

A third area of planned research to use the thesaurus to support natural language understanding.

Finally, we want to try out the system with other natural language applications including text generation.

APPENDIX

LIST OF WORDS AND SYNONYMS

المرائف	الكلمة
أرغم	اجبر
احث	احد
وحيد	أحد
مفرد	أحد
جماعة	أحز اب
تجمع	أحز اب
معشر	أحز اب
زمرة	أحز اب
فرقة	أحز اب
فريق	احز اب
جماعات	أحز اب
طانفة	أحز اب
اتخذ	أخذ
نتاول	أخذ
تعاطى	اخذ
تسلم	أخذ
ختام	أخيرا
نها	أخيرا
وسيلة	أداة
و اسطة	أداة
سبيل	أداة
سيبب	أداة
علامة	أدلة
علم	أدلة
رمز	أدلة
سمة	أدلة
بر هان	أدلة
اثبات	أدلة
شاهد	أدلة
رنيسية	أساسية
اتعبد	أسجد
اعبد	أسجد
اظل	أفضل
نفوق	أفضل
ابقى	أفضل
اختبر	أمتحن
جرب	أمتحن
فحص	أمتحن
راجع	أمتحن
استجوب	أمتحن
انقال	أوز ان

علامة	اية
اسداء	ایتکار
استتباط	ابتكار
تقليده	ابتکار م
ابتداعه	ب <u>ب</u> ر ۔ ایتکار ہ
استتباطه	ابتکار ہ
استحكم	ب <u>ـــر</u> انقان
استعو ي	احتذب
استدرق	احتذب
استقطب	احتذب
جلب	اجتذب
 استحلب	اجتدب
تحمين	اجمالي
تو قعات	احتمالات
يَو قَعدة	احتمالية
استحد	احدث
ادخلت	ادر جت
تو جبه	ار شاد
اعمدة	ار کان
قاعدة	اساس
قاعدي	اساسی
رنيسي	اساسى
مسمى	اسم
تسمية	اسم
مسالهمة	اشتراك
تشارك	اشتر اك
مشاركة	اشتر اك
مقاسمة	اشتراك
مشاطرة	اشتر اك
تضمنت	اشتملت
احتوت	اشتملت
مساهمات	اشتر اکات
التصور ات	الاحتمالا
الو عي	الادر اك
الوعى	الادر اکی
التوجيهات	الأرشادات
الاستعمال	الاستخدام
الاستتتاج	الاستدلال
الاستتباط	الاستدلال
الاستخلاص	الاستدلال
الاستخراج	الاستدلال
الاسترداد	الاسترجاع
الانسحاب	الاسترجاع
الأهابة	الاستعداد
ادر س	أدرس
العدة	الاستعداد

الاستفهام	الاستعلام
الاستبضباح	الاستعلام
	NEW
الشنو ان	
الاستحدام	الاستعمال
الاستثمار	الاستغلال
الاستفسار	الاستفهام
الاستيضباح	الاستفهام
الاستحلاء	الاستفعاد
11 11	
السبو ال	الاستعهام
الاستخبار	الاستفهام
الملاقاة	الاستقبال
الاثبوت	الاستقرار
الار سوخ	الاستقرار
التماذين	الاستقداد
القواري	الاستغرار
الاستنباب	الاستقرار
الفحص	الإستقصباء
المعاينه	الاستقصباء
المر احعة	الاستقصباء
البحث	الاستقصباء
· · · · · ·	
استعر اص	الإستقصياء
التحرر	الاستقلال
استدلال	الاستتتاج
استخلاص	الاستتتاج
استخر اح	الاستتتاح
المتتفاد	SDL T. NI
1 - 11	
افساء	الاستهلاك
نهك	الاستهلاك
التوريد	الاستير اد
ابر اد	الاستبر اد
احتلاب	الاستير اد
ا بت عب	الارتباد
استجرب	الاستير ب
أعتباريه	الاسميه
التغير	الأقلاب
العاقل	البالغ
العاقلة	البالغة
العاقلين	البالغين
المرتفعة	الراهمية
المرتعة	الب مصلحة
المحلقة	الباهصية
النماء	التطوير
التتمية	التطوير
النماية	التطويرية
التو ضيح	التظهير
المزار	التعار
	التمنين ١١- ٩١
الدوب	الدمدين
الخدلان	التتازلي
التفضل	التتازلى

التلطف	التتازلي
التكهن	النتبز
الترتيب	التتسيق
التظام	التتسيق
الترتيب	التتظيم
النظام	التنظيم
التميد	التنظيم
الترتيى	التتظيمي
النظام	التنظيمي
التمعيدي	التتظيمي
التر تبية	التنظيمية
التمميزية	التظيمية
التسميلي	التظرورة
التعنوية	التنابية
التريبية	التص <b>يمي</b> ة المناخذة
التطبيق	التنقيد .
الانجاز	الشهيد
التطبيقي	التتغيدي
الانجازي	التتفيذي
التطبيقية	التتفيذية
التطوير	التتمية
انماء	التتمية
الشاء	النتويه
التلميح	التتويه
التعريض	التتويه
التو عبدات	التهديدات
التعريض	التو سبيع
التتوير	التوعية
التيميد	التوعية
التحذيد	التوجيب التوجيبة
التنبير	التر <u>عب</u> الترعية
التعبي-	اللوعية-
الحلمان	اللوقع
الترجح	التوقع الترقيع
التقدير	النوقع
التكهن	التوقع
تبزة	التوقع
السحب	الجر
النقر	الحفر
الثقل	الحمل
التصوير	الرسوم
الجباية	الرسوم
الباقى	الرصيد
الندى	الرطوبة
التبلل	الرطوبة
البلة	الرطوبة
القيمة	السعر
التحول	السفر
. سبر ن	المستر

التحكم	السيطرة
الهرم	الشيخ
الانتشار	الشيوع
التغشي	الشيوع
السطوع	الشبوع
الذالقة	الصانعة
المتحدة	المرائمة
المبتعدة	المسالمة
	المعالمة
ا <del>لنقد</del> م ۱۱ •	الصداره
الحصوص	الصدد
الوجهة	الصدد
الناحيه	الصدد
القصد	الصدد
العزل	الصرف
الطرد	الصرف
العزلى	الصرفى
الطردي	الصرفى
العزلية	الصرفية
الطربة	الصرفية
المصبار ح	الصريح
النقر	الصبريح
الصراف	الصريح
المشقادي	المعدات
151	الصعلاب
1. t II	الصنعيد
الهصبه	الصنغيد
المرتفع	الصنعيد
الطابورا	الصنفا
الخصلات	الصفات
الذهب	الصنفر
العسجد	الصفر
النضار	المصفر
الطو ابير	الصفوف
الر ابطة	الصلة
العلاقة	الصلة
الطرش	الصم
الصلب	الصبر
الحامد	الصبر
الحر فة	الصناعة
الحرف	الصناع
اذابة	المبع
الدية. الدية	المبدرة
البري	الصبوري ا
التستين	الصبيات
اللحوين	الصلي عه ۱۱ ۱۱ د
الترحيب	الصياعي
التكوين	الصياعى
الزوم	الضرورة

	. 11
الواجب	الضروره
المدعاة	الضرورة
التو ر	الضبو ء
الختم	الطر
، <u>تح</u> تیم ۱۱	
الدمع	الطيع
المهر	الطبع
الوسم	الطبع
الفطرية	الطبيعة
الخلقية	الطبيعة
السيما	المالية الم
البسيطة	الطبيعة
العطري	الطبيعي
الخلقى	الطبيعى
البسيط	الطبيعي
الذبذ	الطبب
اللميز	الطوب
التحليق	الطير أن
الحال	الظرف
و ضبو ع	الظرف
العتيق	العادي
الدهيرة	الملام
الدهري	العادي
العتيفة	العاديه
الدهرية	العادية
الصحبة	العشرة
الر فقة	العشرة
المخالطة	العشرة
5.1.50	المعربة
العبيية	العصبية
العشاريه	العصبيه
الزمن	العصىر
السنين	العصور
القرون	العصبور
الداء	-1-11
الشواع	المحرج
الرو ابط	العارفة
المعرفة	العلوم
الشغل	العمل
المهنة	العمل
المظيفة	العما
النقد	المعالة
الساريه	العمود
السحيقة	العميقة
المقعر	العميقة
الزيون	العميل
المأجور	العميل
ال مان ا	المسليق
الصنعانب	الغو الق
الموترات	العو امل
البنة	الفتاة
الصغين	الفصلين
المصبحف	القر آن
----------------	------------
الاستير	القو اعد
	القبرامين
المعاجم	العو الميس
المنع	الحف
الردع	الكف
الحجز	الكف
الكاتب	المؤلف
الو اضع	المؤ لف
المسر	المبسط
المتعدر	hundl
العليسر	1
اليسره	المبسطة
المتيسرة	المبسطه
المتغير ات	المتحو لات
المنقول	المترجم
البحث	المجرد
الصرف	المجرد
الخالص	المجرد
الصباف	المحر د
العادي	المحرد
المتعاري	المجارد
المنحايد ۱۱	المحايد
المتحصير	المحددة
المحجوز	المحددة
المحصور	المحددة
المخلص	المحرر
الحافز	المحرك
باعث	المحرك
الدافع	المحرك
	الدجراف
المنير	المحرك
الجالب	المحرك
المسبب	المحرك
العبور	المرور
مسير ة	المسار
النافع	المساعد
المساعدة	المساهمة
الحديدات	المستحدات
الحديثات	المستحدات
المستحدث	المستحدات
:1: 11	المستقد
الموطف	المستحدم
العامل	المستحدم
المشتغل	المستخدم
الشىغال	المستخدم
اجير	المستخدم
المستعمل	المستخدم
المو ظفة	المستخدمة
العاملة	المستخدمة
11:5 h.11	الستخدمة
المسيدعدة	المستحتيمة

الشغالة	المستخدمة
اجيرة	المستخدمة
المستعملة	المستخدمة
الناصح	المستشار
المرشد	المستشار
القاضى	المستشار
المستخدم	المستعمل
المعتمد	المستعمل
المستخدمة	المستعملة
المعتمدة	المستعملة
المستخدمي	المستعملي
المعتمدي	المستعملي
المنتفع	المستقيد المتناقية
المينفعة ١١ ••:	المستق <u>رد</u> ة المستق <u>ر</u> دة
المنتقعي	المستعيدي
الدابية	المستغرة
ار سحب المقراب	المستغربة. المستم ي
المعداد	المستوى المستوع،
المحك	المستوى المستوى
ممد	المستويات
سوي	المستوبات
التدليك	المسح
الدهن	المسح
الجانز	المسموح
المقبول	المسموح
المستساغ	المسمو ح
مسمم	المسموم
المائلة	المشابهة
المذكور	المشار
الحساس	المشاعر
التأثر	المشاعر
المنظور	المشاهد
المشهود	المشاهد
المتساعه	المشتركة
المالوفة.	المعدادة
المعهوده	المعتادة
الفحور	المعتقر ات
المزاهب	المعتقدات
المغمض	المعتمد
المه کل	المعتمد
اله کیل	المعتمد
المندوب	المعتمد
الممثل	المعتمد
المستعد	المعد

المتأهب	المعد
11 - 14 - 11	المعد
البدير	2
المدير	المعد
المحور	المعدل
المضبوط	المعدل
المصحح	المعدل
المقوم	المعدل
الدر آك	المعر ف
التميز	المعرف
الدير	المعرف
ہوسی	المعرف
المسترودة	المعروضة
المعدمه	المعروضية
المقترحة	المعروضة
المشهود	المعروف
المشهور	المعروف
المعلوم	المعروف
المشعورة	المعروفة
المعاممة	المعرمفة
المعطومة	السنروك.
المقصبونة	المعرونة
المعرق	المعزولة
المشتت	المعزولة
الشتات	المعزولة
المشكلة	المعضلة
المشكل	المعضلة
= inall	المعطاة
المعدي	تا لمع ما
المهوب ۱۱ تر	
المقدم	المعطاه
المخول	المعطاة
الموهوبات	المعطيات
المقدمات	المعطيات
الصعب	المعقد
العويص	المعقد
الدقيق	المعقد
الدر =	الم حقًّا
العرج	المحقد ت
الصنغبة	المعودة
العويصه	المعقدة
الدقيقة	المعقدة
الحرجة	المعقدة
المقلوب	المعكوس
المنقلب	المعكوس
المنكو س	المعكوس
المنكس	المعكوس
المقامية	المحكم ورقم
المتوب.	المعدوسة
المتعبية ١١ - ٢ - ٢	المعدوسة
المنحوسة	المعدوسة
المنكسة	المعكوسة

الممائلة	المطابقة
المسنعل	المطالب
الضيامن	المطالب
المملخذ	المطالب
الم <u>ترارمة</u>	المطرقة
int n	المطبقة
الممنالة	المطبقة
المحتوم	المطبوع
المدموع	المطبوع
الممهور	المطيوع
المخصوم	المطروح
المحسوم	المطروح
المحذوف	المطروح
المحصومة	المطروحة
المحسومة	المطروحة
المدقوقة	المطر وقة
المرغّوب	المطلوب
المنتغي	المطلوب
المنشور	المطلوب
المبلا	المطامب
المرات	المطلوب
المسوقى	المطلوبة
المرعوبة	المطلوبة
المنشودة	المطلوبة
المرادة	المطلوبة
المستوفة	المطلوبة
المعقمة	المطهرة
المحيا	المظاهر
الطلعة	المظاهر
القو اميس	المعاجم
المساو ة	المعادلة
المتوازنة	المعادلة
الطلاع	المعار ف
الحاطة	المعارف
الوقوف	المعارف
الد ابة	المعارف
الوكي	المعاكس
الطريب	المعالية
المعبيب	
المطبب	المعادج
المداوي	المعالج
الشاقي	المعالج
المنار	المعالم
التوة	المعالم
المعالج	المعامل
المشغول	المعامل
المصنوع	المعامل
الصنيع	المعامل
المدلول	المعانى

التفصيلي	المفصل
المستغيض	المغصبل
المسعب	المفصل
المعمق	المغصبل
المختار ة	المفضلة
الناحح	المفلح
الموفق	المفلح
الفالح	المفلح
الضافر	المفلح
المضفر	المفلح
المعقو ل	المفهوم
التصبور	المفهو م
النافعة	المفيدة
الصالحة	المفيدة
المحدية	المغيدة
الناحعة	المفيدة
المقابلة	المقارنة
المقابسة	المقارنة
المفاضلة	المقارنة
المنزلة	المقاد
المكانة	المقاد
المكان	المقاد
القدر	المقاد
المركز المركز	المقاد
المناهضة	المقاءمة
الصمود	المقادمة
الثيم ت	المقاءمة
المعاد ضبة	المقادمة
المعريض	المقترح
الد أي	المقترح
الكمية	المقدار
القسط	المقدار
الحسب	المقدار
المتعخ	المقصبود
المتعمد	المقصبود
المغتعا	المقصبود
المر اد	المقصبود
المعداد	المقياس
المكيال	المقياس
المحك	المقياس
المقاس	المقياس
المدى	المقياس
المديوطة	المقيدة
الموبو <u> </u>	المقيدة
المشدو المشدوة	المقيدة
المداديل	المكاتب
المر اسن	المحانب

الديو ان	المكتب
المحرر	المكتوب
المدون	المكتوب
المحررة	المكتوبة
المدونة	المكتوبة
الضبرير	المكفو ف
اللاعم	المكفو
المعمدل	المكمن
المناف	المكرن
المولف	المكون
	المكون
المحلوق	المحون
المنطوق	الملقوظ
السلطان	الملك
المخاصمات	المناز عات
المساكن	المنازل
البيوت	المنازل
المثوى	المنازل
الملانع	المناسب
المو افق	المناسب
الانق	المناسب
الملائمة	المناسبة
المعاقمة	المذارية
المواطعة	المدامية
	الماسية
المنافشة	المناطرة
الحوار	المناظرة
المحاورة	المناظرة
الفاندة	المنافع
المحاورة	المناقشات
المناظرة	المناقشات
التحاور	المناقشات
الطريقة	المناهج
الو تير ة	المناهج
النظام	المناهج
الصبادر ة	المنبثقة
المتصباعدة	المنبثقة
المراز	المنتح
الم اندة	المنتحة
	المنتجب
المسهور ہ	المللسزة
المشاع	المنتسره
المتداول	المنتشرة
المنقد	المنجى
المخلص	المنجى
المسكن	المنزل
البيت	المنزل
الدارة	المنزل
المثو ي	المنزل

المقر	المنزل
المستقر	المنزل
اللاغي	المنسوخ
الملغى	المنسوخ
المنقوض	المنسوخ
المنقول	المنسوخ
اللاغية	المنسوخة
المنقطة	المنسو <u>ت</u>
المتقرف	المنثير
المرعوب	المتغيد
المبتعى	المنصود
المطلوب	المصبود.
المز ال	المنشود
المتوحى	المنشود
المرغوبه	المتشودة
المبتغة	المنشودة
المطلوبة	المنشودة
المر ادة	المنشودة
المتوخة	المنشودة
المندفع	المنطلق
المسترسل	المنطلق
الملفوظ	المنطوق
النص	المنطوق
الملفوظة	المنطوقة
المر نير.	المنظور
المشاهد	المنظور
المشعو د	المنظور
المريقي	المنظور
المنفر د	المنعزار
المغمرا	المنعزل
المحددين	المنعذا
المعبوس	المنعزل
المعرور	المتغرن
الملجز	11 11
المحقق	الم <u>بعد</u> ۱۰۰۰۱
المتباعد	المنفصل
المتباعدة	المنقصلة
الناقصة	المنقوصة
المحمولة	المنقولة
المشحونة	المنقولة
المسرودة	المنقولة
الطريقة	المنهج
النهج	المنهج
النسق	المنهج
الطريقة	المنهجى
المنو ال	المنهجى
النظام	المنهجى
المز هر ة	المنورة

المتفتحة	المنورة
المثقفة	المنورة
المثير قة	المنمدة
الد ال	المندرة
5 1 11	المتورة
اليراعه	المهاره
الحدق	المهارة
الوظيفة	المهام
العمل	المهام
الشغل	المهام
المامورية	المعام
اختصامي	المعاد
العناية	المتهام
الوطيفة	المهمة
العمل	المهمه
المأمورية	المهمة
الجو ہر	المو اد
الجسم	المو اد
العنصر	المو اد
المكمنز	المواد الموالا
المعون	المواد
المقوم	المو الــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
العقرة	المو اد
البند	المو اد
المأخذ	الموارد
المذهل	الموارد
العدد	المو اسم
·15 .11	المماضية
	المراسم
المو افع	المو اصبغ
المحل	المو أضبع
المطرح	المو اضبع
المقام	المو اضمع
الحيز	المو اضمع
القابل	المو افق
الد اضب	المو افق
الم ا <u>لمعنى</u> المطابق	المد افق
التدارة	المد الفقة أ
العابية	المواقفة
الر اضيه	المو افقه
المطابقة	المو افقه
المكان	المو اقع
الموضوع	المو اقع
المطرح	المو اقع
الحمة	المو اقع
المقاد	المو اقع
المحتام	المراقق المراقق
الوصيح ال	المواقف
الحاله	المواقف
الحال	المو افف
المشتت	الموز ع
المفرق	الموز ع

•

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abu-Arafah, A. 1995. <u>A Grammar for the Arabic Language Suitable for Machine Parsing</u> <u>and Automatic Text Generation</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Abu-Salem, H. 1992. <u>A Microcomputer Based Arabic Bibliographic Information</u> <u>Relational System with Relational Thesauri (Arabic-IRS)</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Ahlswede, T. E. 1981. <u>A Linguistic String Grammar for Adjective Definitions from</u> <u>Webester's Seventh Collegiate Dictionary</u>. M.S. thesis, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Ahlswede, T. E. 1988. <u>Syntactic and Semantic Analysis of Definitions in Machine</u> <u>Readable Dictionary</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Ahlswede, T. E. and Evens, M. W. 1988a. Generating a Relational Lexicon from a Machine-Readable Dictionary. <u>International Journal of Lexicography</u>, Vol.1, No. 3, pp. 214-237.
- Ahlswede, T. E. and Evens, M. W. 1988b. Parsing vs. Text-Processing in the Analysis of Dictionary Definitions. <u>Proceedings of the Association for Computational</u> <u>Linguistics: 26th Annual Meeting</u>. June 7-10. Buffalo, NY: ACL. pp.217-224.
- Akkawi, K. 1998. <u>The Effectiveness and Efficiency of Clustering in Arabic Information</u> <u>Retrieval</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Ali, N. 1988a. <u>Arabic Language and Computer</u> (research study). Al-khat Publishing Press, Ta' reep, Cairo, Egypt.
- Ali, N. 1988b. Formalization and Computation of Arabic Syntax. <u>11th National</u> <u>Conference Proceedings</u>, KFUPM, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, Vol. I, pp. 309-320.
- Ali, N., and Morcos, I. 1987. Data Compression Technique for Arabic Text. <u>Proceedings</u> of the First King Saud University Symposium on Computer Arabization, April, pp. 67-77.
- Ali, N., Hegazi, N., and Abed, E. 1984. A Morphology-Based Data Compression Technique for Arabic Text. <u>1st African Conference on Computer</u> <u>Communications</u>, Tunis 21-23 May, pp.241-251.

- Ali, S. and Shapiro, S.C. 1983. <u>Natural Language Processing Using a Propositional</u> <u>Semantic Network with Structured Variables</u>. Technical Report 93-16. Buffalo, NY: Department of Computer Science, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Al-Kharashi, I. A., 1990a. Micro-AIRS, A Microcomputer Based Arabic Information Retrieval System, Design, Implementation and Evaluation, <u>The 12th National</u> <u>Computer Conference</u>, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, October 21-24, Vol.II, pp. 515-529.
- Al-Kharashi, I. A., 1990b. An Efficient Contextual Analysis Algorithm for Arabic Text Handling. <u>The 12th National Computer Conference</u>, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, October 21-24, Vol.II, pp. 465-473.
- Al-Kharashi, I. 1991. <u>Microcomputer Based Arabic Information Retrieval System:</u> <u>Comparing Words, Stems, and Roots as Index Terms</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois.
- Al-Kharashi, I., and Evens, M. 1994. Comparing Words, Stems, and Roots as Index Terms in an Arabic Information Retrieval System. <u>Journal of American Society</u> for Information Science. Vol. 45, No. 8, September 1994, pp. 548 - 560.
- Al-Khrisat, M. M. 1992a. Structuring the Arabic Lexicon and Thesaurus with Lexical-Semantic Relations. <u>Proceedings of the Fourth Midwest Artificial Intelligence and</u> <u>Cognitive Science Society Conference</u>, Utica, IL, May 3-4, 1992, pp.117-121.
- Al-Khrisat, M. M. 1992b. <u>Structuring the Arabic Lexicon and Thesaurus with Lexical-</u> <u>Semantic Relations to Support Information Retrieval</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois.
- Allen, J. 1987. <u>Natural Language Understanding</u>. The Benjamin/ Cummins Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park, CA.
- Alsamara, K. 1996. <u>An Arabic Lexicon to Support Information Retrieval, Text</u> <u>Generation, and Parsing</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Al-Shalabi, R. 1996. <u>Design and Implementation of an Arabic Morphological System to</u> <u>Support Natural Language Processing</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Amsler, R. 1981. A Taxonomy for English Nouns and Verbs, <u>Proceedings of the 19<sup>th</sup></u> <u>Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics</u>, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, pp. 133-138.

- Apresyan, Yu. D., Mel'cuk, I.A., and Zolkovsky, A. K. 1970. Semantics and Lexicography: Towards a New Type of Unilingual Dictionary. In F. Kiefer, (ed.) <u>Studies in Syntax and Semantics</u>. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, pp. 1-33.
- Arif, E. 1995 <u>Designing an Arabic Object-Oriented Programming Language and Help</u> <u>System for Pedagogical Purposes</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Armouti, H. 1995. <u>An Intelligent Editor for an Arabic Object-Oriented Programming</u> <u>Language</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Attar, R., Choueka, Y., Dershowitz, N. and Fraenkel, A. 1987. KEDMA Linguistic Tools for Retrieval Systems. JACM, Vol. 25, No. 1, January. pp. 52 - 66.
- Becker, J. D. 1975. The Phrasal Lexicon. In R.C. Schank, and B. Nash-Webber, eds., <u>Theoretical Issues in Natural Language Processing</u>, ACL Annual Meeting, Cambridge, MA, June 1975. pp. 38 - 41.
- Becker, J. D. 1987. Arabic Word Processing, <u>Communications of the ACM</u>. Vol. 30, No. 7, July 1987. pp. 600 610.
- Beesley, K. 1988. Computer Analysis of Arabic Morphology: A Two-Level Approach with Detours. <u>Perspectives on Arabic Linguistics III</u>, ed. by Mushira Eid and Bernard Comrie, John Benjamins, Amsterdam. pp. 155 – 172.
- Bloomfeld, L. 1933/1965. Language. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York.
- Boguraev, B. 1987. Machine-Readable Dictionaries and Computational Linguistic Research. In D. Walker, A. Zampolli, and N. Calzolari, eds. <u>Proceedings of the Lexicon Workshop of the 1987 Linguistics Summer Institute</u>, July 13 – 24; Stanford CA. pp. 279 - 303.
- Boguraev, B. and Briscoe, T. 1987. Large Lexicons for Natural Language Processing: Utilizing the Grammar Coding System of LDOCE. Journal of Computational Linguistics, Vol. 13, Numbers 3 - 4, July - December 1987, pp. 219-240.
- Boguraev, B., Carroll, J., Pulman, S., Russell, G., Ritchie, G., and Black, A., 1987. The Lexical Component of a Natural Language Toolkit. In D. Walker, A. Zampolli, and N. Calzolari, eds. <u>Proceedings of the Lexicon Workshop of the 1987</u> <u>Linguistics Summer Institute</u>, July 13 - 24; Stanford, CA. pp. 265 - 372.
- Byrd, R. J. 1987. Dictionary Systems for Office Practice. In D. Walker, A. Zampolli, N. Calzolari, eds. <u>Proceedings of the Lexicon Workshop of the 1987 Linguistics</u> <u>Summer Institute</u>, July 13 - 24; Stanford, CA. pp. 186 - 205.

- Byrd, R. J., Calzolari, N., Chodorow, M. S., Klavans, J. L., Neff, M. S., and Rizk, O. A. 1987. Tools and Methods for Computational Lexicology. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Computational Linguistics</u>, Vol. 13, Nos. 3 - 4, July - December1987, pp. 219 -240.
- Calzolari, N. 1988. The Dictionary and the Thesaurus Can Be Combined. In Evens, M., ed. <u>Relational Models of the Lexicon</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp.75-96.
- Casagrande, J. and Hale, K. 1967. Semantic Relations in Papago Folk Definitions. In D. Hymes and W. E. Bittle, eds., <u>Studies in Southwestern Ethnolinguistics</u>. Mouton, The Hague: pp. 165-196.
- Conlon, S. Pin-Ngern, Evens, M., Ahlswede, T. 1990. Generating a Lexical Database for Adverbs. <u>Proceedings of the University of Waterloo Centre for the New Oxford</u> <u>English Dictionary</u>. October 28-80, pp. 95-109.
- Conlon, S., and Evens, M. 1994. A Lexical Database for Nouns to Support Parsing Generation, and Information Retrieval. In S. Hockey and N. Ide, eds. <u>Research in</u> <u>Humanities Computing 3</u>, Oxford University Press, Oxford pp. 74-78.
- Conlon, S., Dardaine, J., D, Souza, A., Evens, M., Haynes, S., Kim, J.S., and Strutz, R. 1994. The Lexical Database: Dream and Reality. In Current Issues in Computational Linguistics: In Honour of Don Walker. A. Zampolli, N. Calzolari, and M. Palmer, eds. <u>Linguistica Computazionale</u>, Vol. IX. Giardini Editori, Pisa, distributed in the US by Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, pp. 201-225.
- Cumming, Susanna, 1986a. Design of the Master Lexicon. <u>Report to the National Science</u> <u>Foundation</u>. In xerograph.
- Cumming, Susanna, 1986b. The Lexicon in Text Generation. Presented at the Workshop on Automating the Lexicon, Grosseto, Italy, June, 1986.
- Cumming, Susanna, and Robert Albano, 1986. A Guide to Lexical Acquisition in the JANUS System. <u>Report to the National Science Foundation</u>. In xerograph.
- El-Dessouki, O., and Munir, M. 1987. A Dictionary Based Arabic Text Compression Technique. <u>Proceedings of the First King Saud University Symposium on</u> <u>Computer Arabization</u>, April, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, pp. 79 - 87.
- El-Dessouki, A., Nazif, A., El-Dessouki, O., and Ahmad, M. 1988. An Expert System for Understanding Arabic Sentences. <u>Proceedings of the 10th National Computer</u> <u>Conference</u>, Vol. II, Feb, 28 - March, 2, 1988. Computer Center, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, pp. 745 - 759.

- El-Dessouki, A., El-Dessouki, O., Nazif, A., and Ahmad, M. 1989. An ATN Approach for Understanding Arab Sentences. <u>Proceedings of the 11th National Computer</u> <u>Conference and Exhibition</u>. 4-7 March, 1989, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, pp. 762-773.
- El-Kareh, S., and Cote, P. 1990. Development of Computer Tools to Analyze Arabic Questions. <u>The 12th National Computer Conference and Exhibition Proceedings</u>, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, October 21 - 24, 1990, Vol. II, pp. 693 - 705.
- El-Sadany, T. A., and Hashish, M. A. 1989. An Arabic Morphological System. <u>IBM</u> <u>Systems Journal</u>. Vol. 28, No. 4, pp. 600 - 612.
- Evans, D., and Zhai, C. 1996. Noun-Phrase Analysis in Unrestricted Text for Information Retrieval. <u>Proceedings of the 34th Annual Meeting of the ACI</u>. June 24-27, 1996. Santa Cruz, CA, pp. 17-24.
- Evens, M. W. 1979. Structuring the Lexicon and the Thesaurus with Lexical-Semantic Relations. Proposal to the National Science Foundation. In xerograph.
- Evens, M. W. 1981. Structuring the Lexicon and the Thesaurus with Lexical-Semantic Relations. <u>Final Report to the National Science Foundation on Grant IST-79-18467</u>. In xerograph.
- Evens, M., ed. 1988. <u>Relational Models of the Lexicon</u>. Cambridge, UK: University Press.
- Evens, M. 1993. Lexical and Semantic Relations for an Information Retrieval Thesaurus. <u>Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of American Society of Information</u> <u>Science</u>, Vol. 30, October 24 - 28, 1993, p. 294.
- Evens, M. and Smith, R. 1978. A Lexicon for a Computer Question-Answering System. <u>American Journal of Computational Linguistics</u>, Microfiches 81, pp.16-24, and 83, pp.1-98.
- Evens, M., Litowitz, B., Markowitz, J., Smith, R. N., and Werner, O. 1983. <u>Lexical-Semantic Relations: A Comparative Survey</u>. Linguistic Research, Inc., Edmonton, Alberta.
- Evens, M., Vandendorpe, J., and Wang, Y. 1985. Lexical-Semantic Relations in Information Retrieval. In S. Williams, ed., <u>Humans and Machines</u>. Ablex, Norwood, NJ. pp. 73-100.

- Evens, M., Markowitz, J., Ahlswede, T., and Rossi, K. 1987. Digging in the Dictionary: Building a Relational Lexicon to Support Natural Language Processing Applications. <u>Issues and Developments in English and Applied Linguistics</u>, Vol. 2, pp. 33-44.
- Evens, M., Dardaine, J., Huang, Y-F., Li, S.M., Markowitz, J., Rinaldo, F., Rinado, M., and Strutz, R. 1991. For the Lexicon That Has Everything. <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Siglex Workshop</u>, Berkeley, CA. pp. 179-187.
- Farghaly, A. 1989. A Natural Language Understanding System for Arabic. <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the First Kuwait Computer Conference</u>, Kuwait, March, 1989, pp. 595-622.
- Fassi, F. A. 1993. Issues in the Structure of Arabic Clauses and Words. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland.
- Feddag, A. 1992. Arabic Morpho-Syntax and Semantic Parsing. <u>Proceedings of the 13<sup>th</sup></u> <u>National Computer Conference</u>, King AbdulAziz City of Science and Technology, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, November 11 - 14, Vol. 2, pp. 717 - 749.
- Felber, H. 1986. Terminology and Machine Assisted Translation. Infoterm, Vienna, Austria. <u>Proceedings of the International Workshop on Computer Aided</u> <u>Translation</u>. Organized by the King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology in Riyadh, 25 -26 Jumada II, 1405/16 - 17 March, 1985, pp. 117-122.
- Fox, E. 1981. Lexical Relations: Enhancing the Effectiveness of Information Retrieval Systems. <u>ACM SIGIR Forum</u>. pp.15-36.
- Fox, E., Nutter, J. T., Ahlswede, T., Evens, M., and Markowitz, J. 1988. Building a Large Thesaurus for Information Retrieval, <u>Proc. ACL Conference on Applied Natural</u> <u>Language Processing</u>, Austin, Texas, Feb, pp. 101-108.
- Gheith, M., and Aboul-Ela, M. 1989. A Computer Based Arabic Syntax Analyzer. <u>Proceedings of the 11th National Computer Conference and Exhibition</u>, March, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia, pp. 352 - 360.
- Gheith, M., and El-Sadany, T. 1987. Arabic Morphological Analyzer on a Personal Computer. <u>Proceedings of the First King Saud University Symposium on</u> <u>Computer Arabization</u>, April, pp. 55 - 65.
- Goodman, N. 1952. On Likeness of Meaning. <u>Semantics and the Philosophy of Language</u>. In L. Linsky, ed.: University of Illinois Press, Urbana, pp. 67-74.
- Hammouri, A. 1994. <u>An Arabic Lexical Database to Support Natural Language</u> <u>Processing</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.

- Hanash, M. 1989. The Electronic Dictionary for Arabic Language. <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>First Kuwait Computer Conference</u>, Kuwait, March 1989, pp. 173 - 183.
- Hegazi, N., and Elsharkawi, A. A. 1986. Natural Arabic Language Processing, <u>Proceedings of the 9th National Computer Conference and Exhibition</u>, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, pp. 1 - 17.
- Hmeidi, I. I. 1995. Design and Implementation of Automatic Word and Phrase Indexing for Information Retrieval with Arabic Documents. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology. Chicago, IL.
- Hmeidi, I., Kanaan, G. and Evens, M. 1997.Design and Implementation of Automatic Indexing for Information Retrieval with Arabic Documents. <u>Journal of the</u> <u>American Society for Information Science</u>, Vol. 48. No. 10, pp. 867-881.
- Huang, S F. 1975. <u>A Study of Adverbs</u>. Mouton, the Hague.
- Ingria, Robert, 1987. Survey of Verb Complement Types in English. Presented at the <u>Workshop on the Lexicon</u>, Stanford University, July, 1987.
- Iris, M., Litowitz, B., and Evens, M. 1988. Problems of the Part-Whole Relation in the Lexicon: An Investigation of Semantic Primitives. In M. Evens, ed., <u>Relational</u> <u>Models\_of the Lexicon</u>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England: pp.261-288.
- Jambi, K. M. 1991. <u>Design and Implementation of a System for Recognizing Arabic</u> <u>Hand Written Words with Learning Ability</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology. Chicago, IL.
- Kanaan, G. 1997. Comparing Automatic Statistical and Syntactic Phrase Indexing for <u>Arabic Information Retrieval</u>. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology. Chicago, IL.
- Khayat, M. G. and Al-Muhtaseb, H. A. 1988. Knowledge Representation in Natural Language Systems. <u>Proceedings of the 10th National Computer Conference</u>, Vol. II March 28-2; Computer Center, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. pp. 667 - 676.
- Lee, W. J., and Evens, M. 1992. Generating Cohesive Text Using Lexical Functions. <u>Proceedings of the International Workshop on Meaning-Text Theory</u>. Darmstadt, Germany: Springer-Verlag. pp. 207-214.
- Light, Marc. 1996. Morphological Cues for Lexical Semantics. <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>Association for Computational Linguistics: 34th Annual Meeting</u>. June 24-27. Santa Cruz, CA: ACL. pp.25-31.

- Litowitz, B., Evens, M., and Iris, M. 1988. Problems of the Part-Whole Relation, In M. Evens, ed., <u>Relational Models of the Lexicon</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp.261-288.
- Lyons, J. 1968. <u>Introduction to Theoretical Linguistics</u>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lyons, J. 1977. Semantics. Vols. I, II. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Markowitz, J., Nutter, J. T., and Evens, M., 1992. Beyond Is-A and Part-Whole: More Semantic Network Links. <u>Computers and Mathematics with Applications</u>, Vol. 23, Nos. 6-9, 1992. pp. 337-390.
- Mehdi, S. A. 1986. Arabic Language Parser, Int. J. Man-Machine Studies, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 592 611.
- Mel'cuk, I. and Zholkovsky, A. 1988. The explanatory combinatorial dictionary. In M. Evens, ed. <u>Relational Models of the Lexicon</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: pp. 41-74.
- Merriam. 1978. <u>The Merriam-Webster Thesaurus</u>. G&C Merriam Company. Springfield, MA.
- Miller, G., Beckwit, R., Fellbaum, C., Gross, D., and Miller, K. 1993. A Revised Version of Introduction to WordNet: An On-line Lexical Database. <u>Interalnonal Journal of</u> <u>Lexicography</u>, Vol. 4, No.1., pp.1 - 85.
- Mohammed, F. A., Nasser, K., and Harb, H. M. 1993. A Knowledge Based Arabic Question Answering System. <u>SIGART Bulletin</u>, Vol. 4, No. 4, October 1993, pp. 21 - 33.
- Nafitha 1988. <u>User's Guide and Advanced Programmers Reference</u>, release 3.0, Manama, Bahrain: 01 system.
- Ng, T. H., and Lee, H. 1996. Integrating Multiple Knowledge Sources to Disambiguate Word Sense: An Exemplar-Based Approach. <u>Proceedings of the Association for</u> <u>Computational Linguistics: 34th Annual Meeting</u>. 24-27. Santa Cruz, CA: ACL. pp.17-24.
- Nutter, J. T., Fox, E. A., and Evens, M. W. 1990. Building a Lexicon from a Machine-Readable Dictionary for Improved Information Retrieval. <u>Literary and Linguistic</u> <u>Computing</u>, Vol. 2, No. 5, pp.1 - 17.
- Omar, A. 1982. Science of Semantics. Dare Aloroba lenashr waltawzee. Kuwait.

- Owens, J. 1988. <u>An Introduction to Medieval Arabic Grammatical Theory</u>. <u>The</u> <u>Foundations of Grammar</u>. John Benjamins, Amsterdam.
- Pin-Ngern, S. 1990. <u>A Lexicon Database for English to Support Information Retrieval</u>, <u>Parsing, and Text Generation</u>, Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Pin-Ngern, S., Strutz, R., and M. Evens. 1991. Lexical Acquisition for Lexical Databases. <u>Proceedings of the First Great Lakes Computer Science Conference</u>, Kalamazoo, October, 1989. Published by Springer, New York, 1991. pp. 264-270.
- Quillian, M. R., 1968. Semantic Memory. In M. Minsky, ed. <u>Semantic Information</u> <u>Processing</u>, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA: pp. 227-271.
- Raphael, B. 1968. SIR: A Computer Program for Semantic Information Retrieval. In M. Minsky, ed., <u>Semantic Information Processing</u>, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA: pp.33-146.
- Riegel, K. 1970. The Language Acquisition Process: a Reinterpretation of Related Research Findings. In L.R. Goulet and P.B. Baltes, eds., <u>Theory and Research in</u> <u>Life-Span Developmental Psychology</u>. Academic Press, New York: pp.357-399.
- Ritchie, G., Black, A., Russell, G., and Pulman, S. 1992. <u>Computational Morphology</u>., MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Rumelhart, D., Lindsay, P. and Norman. D. 1972. A Process Model for Long Term Memory. In E. Tulving, W. Donaldson and G. Bower, eds. <u>Organization of</u> <u>Memory</u>. Academic Press, New York, NY: pp.198-248.
- Sager, N. 1975. Computerized Discovery of Semantic Word Classes in Scientific Fields. In R. Grishman, ed. <u>Directions in Artificial Intelligence: Natural Language</u> <u>Processing</u>, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Computer Science Department, New York University, pp. 27-48.
- Saliba, B., and Al-Dannan, A. 1989. Automatic Morphological Analysis of Arabic: A Study of Content Word Analysis. <u>Proceedings of the Kuwait Computer</u> <u>Conference, Kuwait, March, pp.3-5.</u>
- Salton, G. and McGill, M. J. 1983. <u>Introduction to Modern Information Retrieval</u>. McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York:
- Sieny, M. 1985. Studies in Machine Translation, <u>Proceedings of the International</u> <u>Workshop on Computer Aided Translation</u>, Organized by the King Abdulaziz University for Science and Technology in Riyadh, 25-26 Jumada II, 1405/16-17 March, 1985. pp. 195-219.

- Slator, B. 1992. Sense and Preference, <u>Computers and Mathematics with Applications</u>, Vol. 23, Nos. 6-9, 1992. pp. 391-402.
- Smith, R. N. 1985. <u>Conceptual Primitives in the English Lexicon</u>, Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 99-137.
- Sowa, J. F. 1988. Using a Lexicon of Canonical Graphs in a Semantic Interpreter. In M. Evens, ed. <u>Relational Models of the Lexicon</u>, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: pp. 113-137.
- Snapper, J. W. 1998. Associate Professor of Philosophy, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL. Personal Communication.
- Testen, D. 1993. On the Development of the Energic Suffixes. In M. Eid and C. Holes, eds. <u>Perspectives on Arabic Linguistics V</u>, John Benjamins, Amsterdam, pp. 293 - 311.
- Viegas, E., Onyshkevych, B., Raskin, V., and Nirenburg, S. 1996. From Submit to Submitted via Submission: On Lexical Rules in Large-Scale Lexicon Acquisition. <u>Proceedings of the 34th Annual Meeting of the ACL</u>. June 24-27, 1996. Santa Cruz, CA, pp. 32-39.
- Wahba, K. M., Emam, O. S., and Hashish, M. A. 1990. An Orthographic-to-Phonetic Transcription System for Arabic Language. <u>The 12th National Computer</u> <u>Conference and Exhibition Conference Proceedings</u>, October 21 - 24, 1990. King Saudi University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Vol. II, pp. 439 - 448.
- Wan, T-L. 1995. <u>Experiments with Automatic Indexing and a Relational Thesaurus in a</u> <u>Chinese Information Retrieval System</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Wang, G. N. 1989. <u>A Microcomputer Based Information Retrieval System with</u> <u>Relational Thesauri to Support a Medical Expert System</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation Computer Science Department, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL.
- Wang, Y., Vandendorpe, J., and Evens, M. 1985. Relational Thesauri in Information Retrieval. Journal of the American Society of Information Science. Vol. 36, pp. 15 - 27.
- Werner, O., and Schoepfle, G. M. 1987. <u>Systematic Fieldwork</u>. Sage Publication, Newbury Park, CA.

- Werner, O., Austin, M., and Begishe, K. 1969/1981. <u>The Anatomical Atlas of the Navajo</u>. Department of Anthropology, Northwestern University and the U.S. Public Health Service.
- Williams, G. 1966. Linguistic Reflections of Cultural Systems. <u>Anthropological</u> <u>Linguistics</u>, Vol. 8, No. 8, pp.13-21.
- Wright, W. 1859. <u>A Grammar of the Arabic Language</u>. Vol. I. Covent Garden, London.
- Yahya, A. H. 1989. On the Complexity of the Initial Stage of Arab Text Processing, <u>Proceedings of the Great Lakes Computer Conference</u>, Kalamazoo, MI, October 1989.
- Zholkovskii, A. K. and I. A. Mel'chuk. 1967. Semantic Synthesis. <u>Systems Theory</u> <u>Research – a Translation of Problemy Kibernetiki</u> Vol. 19, pp. 179-243.
- Ziadeh, F., and Winder, R. 1988. <u>An Introduction to Modern Arabic</u>. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.







IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (QA-3)



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.